



EVALUATION FORM

Title of the Article:

Please provide us with the following information concerning the paper:

1. Article structure:

1a. Does the article follow general scientific standards?

Yes No

Explain:

1b. Is the article empirically-based?

Yes No

1c. If the previous answer is “yes”, does the article include abstract and keywords; introduction; context with literature review, research question, methodology, data analysis and interpretation, discussion, conclusion, and list of references?

Yes No

Explain:

1d. If the answer of 1b is “no”, does the article include abstract and keywords; introduction; context with literature review, a thorough critical development, conclusion, and list of references?

Yes No

Explain:

1e. Is the research or the critical discussion of the article rigorous and sound? Are the arguments well developed? Do the premises lead to the general conclusion?

Yes No

Explain:

2. Form:

2a. Is the article well written? Choose just one of the answers.

- Yes.
- Yes, but it needs improvement.
- Not really: there are many language problems.
- Not at all.

Explain:

2b. Was the article properly prepared for blind review?

Yes No

Explain:

3. Would you recommend publication? Choose just one of the answers.

- Yes, as is.
- Yes, with a minor revision.
- Yes, with an extensive revision.
- Not at all.

Explain:

REVIEWER STATEMENT

By sending this evaluation to the editor, the reviewer confirms that she/he has read and accepted the full terms of the journal's article evaluation procedures, and has reviewed the paper with respect and fairness, in the best of her/his knowledge.

Date: